OCCURRENCE REPORTING POGRAM-PROPOSED INTERPRETATION PROCESS

Deleted: AND PROCESSING

SYSTEM

Deleted:

For

ORPS Criteria and Requirements

EFCOG ORPS Criteria Interpretation Task Team

March 2006

Proposed process for identifying, interpreting, and publishing DOE O 231.1A, DOE M 231.1-2, and DOE G 231.1 by DOE and DOE Contractor Organizations

Scope

The scope of this proposal includes a process to obtain interpretations to the requirements and criteria associated with the Occurrence Reporting and Processing System (ORPS). This paper delineates processes for gathering field issues related to ORPS, for DOE HQ to provide interpretation and guidance on the field issue, to publish and notify ORPS users of posted interpretations and guidance, and to identify and recommend relevant interpretations, guidance, and corrections for future publication in the 231 Manual and Guidance documents.

Deleted: will

Deleted: will
Deleted: a

Deleted: and

Deleted: a process

I am not sure we need the following statements because any interpretations should be based on the current orders, manuals, guides, and policies.

This process for criteria interpretation should be based on

Formatted: Font color: Red

- Recent draft changes of criteria in DOE M 231.1-2 that were identified during the Atlanta, Georgia 2004 ORPS Workshop.
- Formal interpretations made from DOE O 232.1A and 5000.3B that are still relevant and can map to the current criteria.

Proposed Process

- Set up an email listing available from the ORPS homepage (orps.html) of DOE contractor personnel with ORPS responsibilities. ace the inactive Occurrence Reporting Listserver link. or to the previous listing ocess to this list should be restricted to DOE and ntractor personnel. The email listing will include the principal contact(s) from each contractor and will be used to communicate the rollout of the interpretation/guidance process. Later, the ORPS prime contractor list will be used to communicate pertinent information related to this process such as new information added to the DOE interpretations website or future ORPS Task Group meeting announcements. This process should support the automatic addition and deletion names to this mailing list. <u>In addition, this process should provide a searchable</u> alphabetic listing of the principle contacts from each contractor to facilitate peer to peer communication.
- Set up an on-line electronic form available from the ORPS homepage page for contractors to request interpretation/guidance of ORPS criteria and requirements. This electronic form should be similar to the proposed Criteria/Requirements
 Comment Submittal/Resolution Form found in Appendix A, which allows the contractor to identify the specific criterion or requirement in question, provide an

Deleted: DOE EH-32

Deleted: the

Deleted: A

Deleted: be put in place to

Deleted: ally

Deleted: add and

Deleted: te

Deleted: This process should include an individual who will maintain the list and an electronic form for deletions/additions

Deleted: DOE EH-32

Deleted: web

Deleted: \mathbf{w}

Deleted: the form used for the Atlanta ORPS workshop

Deleted: where
Deleted: will

explanation of the issue (examples if possible), a proposed interpretation, and Documents DOE's resolution.

- The interpretation form would be sent <u>automatically</u> to EH-32 <u>via the ORPS support e-mail address (orpssupport@eh.doe.gov)</u> for <u>resolution</u>. The <u>subject matter expert</u> review and formal interpretation should not take longer than 20 working days to determine final resolution. Once the interpretation/guidance has been approved, EH-32 will publish it onto their website as official interpretation/guidance. <u>Regardless of the outcome of this determination feedback should be provided directly to the original submitter.</u>
- All formal interpretation/guidance will be considered by EH-32 for future order, manual, and guidance revisions.

Once all elements of this process are put in place, an email will be sent to the primary contractor email listing to announce this process and invite usage of the process.

Definitions

I do not know of any that would be needed. Do we need any??

Deleted: and

Deleted:

Deleted: an

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font

Deleted: (either a person or a special email account that several individuals can access)

Deleted: interpretation or guidance.

Deleted: S

Deleted: The interpretation/guidance request form would be forwarded to the appropriate DOE HQ SME.

Deleted: ME

EFCOG ORPS Criteria Interpretation Process Task Team White Paper

References

Occurrence Reporting and Processing of Operations Information, DOE M 231.1-2
Occurrence Reporting and Performance Analysis Guide, DOE G 231.1-1
Occurrence Reporting C asal Analysis Guide, DOE G 231.1-2

Appendix A

Criteria/Requirements Comments Submittal Form

Site:	_ Submitter's Name:	Date:	
Organization:	Phone:		
E-Mail:		~ , \	

#	Approved Criterion /	Proposed Resolution	Example of Problem	
	Requirement			interpretation

